MARK

Analysis of Agreements Concluded Between

The Seviet Union has concluded two types of agreement with the countries of Eastern Europe, trade agreements and agreements for economic collaboration. The trade agreements are essentially barter agreements which provide for the exchange of specific commodities. The prices for these commodities are generally stipulated in the agreements, and provision is also made for the balancing of respective accounts for deliveries of goods.

The agreements for economic collaboration provide for the participation of Soviet capital and technical shill in the economics of the countries with which such agreements have been concluded. These agreements provide for the formation of joint enterprises for navigation, agriculture, production and exploitation of oil and other materal resources, iron and steel production, etc.

In the following discussion the trade agreements and agreements for economic collaboration will be analysed in separate sections. In the analysis of the trade agreements two factors will be considered: 1) prowar Soviet economics policy with particular reference to the countries of Eastern Europe and 2) conditions which prevail in those countries at the present time.

which has attended the negotiation of these agreements and the terms of the agreements subsequently concluded. Although the Seviet press and radio have mentioned briefly the conclusion of the trade agreements and summarized the principal terms, very little publicity has been given to the agreements for economic collaboration and until recently little was publicly known about the terms. The case of the agreement for economic collaboration which was concluded on May 5, 1945 between the USSR and Rumania is illustrative. On June 21, 1945 General Schmyler, Semior United States Wilitary Representative on the

Allied

Allied Control Commission for Rumania, asked General Sussikov. Senior Soviet Military Representative on the ACC for Rumania, if "there is a five year mitual assistance agreement?" General Sussikov replied "No, there is only an agreement for the mutual exchange of goods, mention of which was made in the official Rumanian newspapers." At that time the Repartment was furturate in having a complete text of the agreement for economic collaboration which had been made available to the American representative through the King's Household in Rumania.

The Department has asked the Soviet foreign office for texts of the agreements concluded between the USSR and Rumania, Bulgaria, and Rungary which countries were emblest to the authority of the Allied Control Commission composed of military representatives from the United States, Orest Britain and the USSR. Although these requests were made in June and July, I no texts of these agreements have been forthecoing from the USSR.

Annimals of the Trade Agreements

A complete list of trade agreements concluded by the USER with countries of Eastern Europe and of other trade agreements concluded among the countries of Eastern Burepe together with supplementary information is appended as Annex I.

In connection with these trade agreements, it should be pointed out that prior to World War II the WEER had concluded muserous clearing and barter agreements. As will be indicated in the discussions relating to particular countries below, clearing agreements were concluded between the WEER and Russais, Russary, Bulgaria, Poland and perhaps other Eastern European countries.

An important

1 Telegram 1335, June 18, 1945 to Moscow.

-3-

the recent trade

An important consideration in evaluating/Therexagreements is the conditions which prevail in these countries at the present time. As ex-enemy countries, Aumania, Bulgaria and Hungary are not entitled at present to receive credits or financial aid from the United States been unfresen. It is, therefore, very difficult for them to obtain United States dollars or to sell commodities on the United States dollars or to sell commodities on the United States market. The agreement with the USER would, therefore, seem to offer one of few possibilities for these exempty countries to obtain certain essential materials for the continued operation of their economy. In all the countries discussed, poor transportation, poor communications, lack of foreign exchange, etc. seriously limit trade relationships with vectors Europe or the United States and make bilateral trade agreements with the USER very nearly the only practical alternative at present.

HINTIA

The USSR, prior to the German stack on the Soviet Union which occurred June 22, 1941, had concluded two trade agreements with Rumania: a clearing agreement of February 15, 1936 and one of February 26, 1941. The second agreement provided for the delivery to Rumania by the USSR of 6,400 tons of cotton, 1,000 tons of cast from, 500 tons manganese ore, and miscellaneous goods. Rumania, on the other hand, was to deliver to the USSR 100,000 tons of gasolene, 1,200 tons lubricating mineral cils, 2,000 tons caustic seds and miscellaneous goods. The total value of the goods to be exchanged amounted to approximately \$4,000,000.

The recent trade agreement with Aumania which was concluded on May 8, 1945 involves the exchange of goods amounting to approximately \$12,000,000. On the basis of a cursory examination of the quantities of perticular goods involved in the exchange, a fairly even balance appears to be achieved. It is believed, however, that one country or the other might be favored either on the basis of actual deliveries or on the basis of the prices

established

LEGIT

established for perticular commodities involved. It is possible that the emchange rate by which the world prices of commodities are computed in local currencies may give the USER some edvantage.

The reparations payments which Rumania is obligated to make to the USER must be taken into consideration in the discussion of the trade agreements. According to the Armistice terms, Rumania is obligated to pay \$50,000,000 ½/im kind to the Government of the USER, According to reports, the method of valuation of the goods has considerably increased the burden on Rumania. The net result of the total deliveries required by reparations appears to be about as much as the present state of according production in Rumania can make available and still maintain a subsistence level of living in the country.

When the total deliveries on reparations account and under the trade agreements are taken into consideration it may be concluded that practically the entire exportable surplus of Russnia is absorbed by the USSR.

On September 3, 1940, the USSR concluded an agreement semeerning the exchange of goods and payments with Hungary. This agreement provided for an exchange of specific types of goods and a balancing of payments in the amount of approximately \$3,700,000.

The trade agreement concluded between the USSR and Rungary on Amplet 27, 1945 contains provisions similar to these in the prover agreement. The commodities which are to be emahanged between the two countries are, however, set forth in considerably more detail. The domination to be furnished by the USSR to Hungary are similar to those included in the prover agreement. The commodities to be furnished by Hungary to the USSR, however, are substantially expanded and include relatively large amounts of agricultural produce, whereas prior to the war Hungary's

experts

^{1/} Annually, in 1938 prices with an increase of 15% for industrial equipment and 10% for other goods. The same pricing basis is used in the other reparations countries. In this connection the American wholesale price index has risen 30% since 1938.

emperts to the USSR were primarily capital goods. The amounts involved in the recent trade agreement total approximately \$30,000,000.

in commenting on the trade agreement sold that "the trade agreement is generally regarded as profitable from the Mangarian viewpoint. Processing of Russian cotton will utilize surplus Mangarian textile capacity. Mangarian common serely needs raw materials. from Russia. Mangary will have difficulty providing goods required for exports to the UMER but oil and food products which comprise an important part of export list, are relatively readily available."

Although the total reparations which Hungary is obligated to pay according to the terms of the Armistice amount to \$300,000,000 ever a six year period, only \$30,000,000 per year is due to the USBR. The remaining \$30,000,000 per year is to be divided between Yugoslavia and Czecheslovakia. Ferhaps it is coincidental that reparations plus the total amount of goods involved in the trade agreement amount, both in the case of Rumania and Bungary, to \$60,000,000.

ATEALTIA

As provided in the smistice agreement, Bulgaria is required to pay to the USER \$70,000,000 a year for a period of six years as reparations. In addition to deliveries under reparations, the UEER has concluded a detailed trade agreement with Bulgaria which provides for an exchange of large quantities of goods. The total value of commedities involved in the exchange is not indicated, and consequently no comparision can be made with the values involved in the above mentioned agreements

deprivated

^{1/} Telegram 719, October 6, from Budapest.

-6-

concluded between the USSR and Rumania and Mungary respectively.

shortly after its conclusion, the imerican Minister stated that the agreement monopolized practically all commodities which Bulgaria might conceivably make available for export in addition to those required under reparations. In discussing commodities which might be said to the United States as a means of opening up trade with Bulgaria, he commented that tobacco in the amount of 8,000 tens would be the only commodity available for export by Bulgaria to third countries. If In fact, on November 17 an agreement was amnounced whereby an American private importer agreed to send to Bulgaria shoes, shemicals, copper, medical supplies, etc. in exchange for tobacco. There is some evidence that the USER is selling in the United States tobacco imported from Bulgaria. 2

Pinland is also committed under the terms of the Armistice to supply the USER with goods valued at \$50,000,000 a year for a period of six years. In addition to this, Finland is also obligated under trade agreement signed August 11, 1945 to make shipments of specified commodities to the USER. The value of the goods to be exchanged under this agreement amounts to approximately \$8,000,000.

Poland, a friendly state, is not burdened by any emports on reperations account. An agreement, however, was signed between Poland and the USSR on July 7, 1945 providing for an exchange of goods valued at approximately \$60,000,000. In addition to this agreement, an

Viologram 1762, May 26, 1945, Moscow, file 661.7431/5-2645 Confidential file. 2/ Telegram 596, October 13, Sofia.

-7-

arrangement covering the shipments of coal from Poland to the USSR for the duration of the period of occupation of Feland was concluded, August 17, 1945.

This trade agreement with Poland is apparently along the lines of the barter agreement concluded February 19, 1939 between the UBSR and Poland. The annual turn-over of the goods under that agreement amounted to approximately \$23,500,000.

It has been ascertained that in spite of agreements to export soal to Sweden, Denmark, Herway, Hungary and Rumania almost all Polich coal exports have gone to the USSR except 250,000 tens exported through October 31 to Sweden. Semi-official sources report that the USSR is paying only 50 slotys per ten for coal delivered at Polich mines, whereas the cost of producing such coal is between 200 and 300 slotys. Some of this coal is reportedly being reexported from the USSR to Czechoslovakia and Hungary. Some Polich officials express doubt that Poland will be paid by the USSR at all, this being the price Poland may have to pay to the USSR for a share of Russian reparations from Germany.

STRUMBLATAKIA

Trade relations between Czechoslovakia and the USSR have been much slower to develop than those between the USSR and other countries of Eastern Europe. A trade agreement was signed only recently and according to reports has not yet been ratified. Similar to other agreements, it provides for the exchange of goods amounting to approximately \$20,000,000. Czechoslovakia, of course, is not committed to any reparations obligations.

Canalunions

Lespatch no. 94, November 8, 1945, Warsaw; telegram no. 506, October 31, 1945, Warsaw, Sources for whole paragraph.

Canalusions Concerning Trade Agreements

Although the trade agreements which the USER has consisted with countries of Eastern Europe fell within the general group of short-term bilateral barter arrangements concluded in the present emergency transitional period between certain countries of Europe, the total values involved are impressive when compared with the pre-war trade of the MESE. The value of the trade agreements, on the basis of figures mentioned above, amounts to approximately \$130,000,000 annually. In addition, the USER is scheduled to receive \$150,000,000 annually in the form of reparations. This gives imports into the USER of approximately \$210,000,000 annually from the countries of Eastern Europe if the trade agreements are continued at the present rate. These imports of \$110,000,000 may be compared with total Seviet imports prior to the war which averaged approximately \$250,000,000 annually.

In addition to the large amounts involved, other previsions of these trade agreements involving the importation of cotton from the USSR in return for the export to the WEER of yers and textiles processed therefrom accord the wask an exclusive position with respect to the cotton trade in Hungary, Assenia and Bulgaria. In the case of all three countries, the quantity of USER cotton imported would momepolize the total normal cotton import trade and would supply sufficient cotton to occupy completely the estion spinning and textile capacity of the respective ecuatries for periods of a year or more. Furthermore, the textiles furnished to the USSR in return deprive these countries of textiles which are badly needed locally. United States estion exports were normally an important factor in the trade with all these countries and formed before the war as much as 50% of total United States exports to Bungary, USSR monopolization of trade of this commodity would exclude the United States from these markets; however, the estion promised by the USSR has not been forthcoming and there is the possibility that the market can be at least partially secured by United States interests.

It 1s

-9-

neste are in fact extertionate. It is possible that the method of computing prices, the exchange rate established, and the actual delivery of goods might give the USER an everabelming advantage. As noted under the discussion of Paland, there seems to be some evidence of such price discrimination. However, lacking statistical information on the agreements and additional information concerning the prices and deliveries, a conclusion cannot be reached regarding the equity of the exchange of goods under the trade agreements. The reperations, of course, give the USER a transmiss advantage and are proving to be a heavy strain on the economies of those countries obligated to pay reparations. The prices established for goods delivered on reparations account accentuate the burden on those countries.

countries of Eastern Europe, these agreements result in foreing trade into unnatural bilateral charmels. As indicated in tables of Annex II, the USSR for the years 1934, 1936 and 1938 did not receive more than 3% of the imports of Rumania, bulgaria, Rumany, Tugoslevakia, Casehoalovakia and Foland. At the present time, the Soviet Union is taking approximately 90% or more of the available exports of most of themse sountries and is furnishing practically all of the imports. Comporting the question of the natural bilateral channels it should be pointed out that Germany prior to the war was the primary market and source of imports for most of the countries of Eastern Earope. With Germany removed as a market and source of imports, a substantial change in the foreign trade of the countries of Eastern Earope is inevitable.

These trade agreements, represent an attempt to shift the trade of the countries of Eastern Europe towards the user. The difficulty is, however, that the economies of the countries of Eastern Europe and of the USER are

supplementary

supplementary and not complementary. The USSR is normally an exporter of foodstuffs, as are the countries of Eastern Europe. I At the present time, however, the Seviet Union is greatly in need of foodstuffs of all kinds and is in a position to supply in return some raw material and semi-finished articles.

It should be pointed out in this connection that the BESR will be satisfied with a smaller share in the secuntries of Eastern Europe and countries quite outside such a potential regional bloc. Hungary has been allowed by the ACC to negotiate possible exchanges of goods with Switzerland and Sweden. Foland has reached agreements with Denmark, Norway and Sweden, and in Bulgaria a berter trade arrangement with a United States trader was possible. Humerous agreements between the countries of Eastern Europe have also been agneluded. It is possible that the USSR will be satisfied with a smaller share in the trade of these countries once trade on a more or less normal basis is physically possible with more distant third countries.

Assessments for Escentis Collaboration

Agreements for economic collaboration have been coneluded with Rumania, Hongary and possibly Balgaria.

Moseco, duration five years. Text was secured from a confidential source, sent as enclosure to Despatch no. August 17, 1945, Budapest, later transmitted to American Mission in a note of October 11, 1945 from Frime Minister Mission.

duration five years. Text was secured from a confidential source, sent as enclosure no. 2 to Despatch no. 316, May 18, 1945, Budapest.

اغتصلط W The following comparison of exports for a prower year (1934) can be made (in per cent of total exports): The Pol. Hone. Crech. Gal THE REAL PROPERTY. 6) Live animals 32 39 19 Peckstuffs 24 Rev meterials and send-samfeatures 28 70 17 23 13 24 manfactures

- 11 -

March 14, 1945, referred to working out "a program of measures to be taken towards fuller cooperation in the economic field." This would presumably take the form of the other agreements for economic collaboration.

Objectionable Features

posed in the agreement are described in general terms, and cannot be designated as monopolistic or exclusive of other fereign interests on the basis of what is known thus far concerning them. It is specified only that the USAR will "participate" in the reconstruction and development of certain aspects of Rusgarian economy, and it cannot be held to exclude the possibility of "participation" by other countries in similar projects.

There are, however, at least the following five eases in which a very favored position is accorded the USSR.

for the USER to participate through joint firms not only in the discovery of new mineral oil deposits, but also in the exploitation of existing oil fields, refineries, processing plants and in the marketing of all oil products. There would, thus, be created a joint U Shakungarian firm or firms which could have an indefinite share in all established oil fields and all refineries. Since there is this provision for a joint firm which could take over part ownership of all oil properties in Hungary past, present and future, and since the joint marketing firm is to participate in the sale of oil products, it might become a monopoly for selling all Hungarian oil products.

Since all Hungerian sub-soil rights (except coal) belong to the State, the Hungarian State, which will have the responsibility for supervising Hungarian participation in the joint U.Sh-Hungarian firms, will probably not be disposed to pass out

concessions

concessions to other foreign corporations. As the matter stands now, the United State Standard Cil Company, for instance, stands to lose in Hungary whatever portion of its oil properties the joint USER-Hungarian cil development firm decides shall be its just "participation" in this \$1,000,000 investment with a steady pre-war annual production of 220,000 tons.

- b. With respect to joint coal enterprises, (Part I, B.4) the agreement seems to imply the erestion of a joint firm (in the case the Hungerian State is specified) not just to dig coal, but to appearise the development of the entire coal industry, including the creation of any new industry dependent upon coal. Such a comprehensive control of the coal industry, would appear to leave no room for independent enterprises outside the momopoly.
- c. he provision proposing to organize and develop river (Danube and Tisza) and ocean shipping on a joint besis (Pert I. C. L.) would definitely be regarded by the United States as a bilateral settlement of matters concerning an international maternay (one of the perties not being a riperian state with respect to the Tisza) which in view of the Department of State should be settled only by multilateral international agreement. (Cf. "Sconemic Aspects of Groupetion of Hungary", Warch 7, 1944).
- d. The agreement specifies (Part II, section 4) that the Hungerian Government will facilitate the processing of raw materials from the TRUR in Hungarian factories. This amounts clearly to discriminatory treatment in favor of Soviet orders and manufactures.
- e. In discussing methods of collaboration (Part II) the agreement specified that "the method and technique of collaboration will be determined by the organization designated for that purpose by the Soviet Government, in assummations

ecoperation

comparation with the appropriate Bungarian organizations, individuals and firms. This accords the Soviet Government a very strong bargaining position, at least within the joint enterprises created. Furthermore, such method and technique of collaboration may take the form of (1) the investment of capital by the Beviets in existing firms, or (2) the creation of joint firms. In the latter case, such firms are to have the power to "rent existing firms". This would seem to give them the power to utilize American or other foreign properties in Bungary in return for whatever in their discretion would be a just rental.

mation is available with respect to the formation of the joint enterprises. The evidence indicates that the agreement for collaboration is to be administered in such a way as to eliminate effectively other foreign interests of any importance from Rumanian economic life. Nost of the joint enterprises have been formally established, but there is no evidence of the movement of capital equipment from the USER to equip the joint companies.

former derman shares of Rumanian contributions of former derman shares of Rumanian cell companies and large balancing Rumanian contributions, the joint oil company established June 17, 1945 is of formidable size. In addition the joint company will have: (1) concessions of new cell bearing lands as agreed upon, (2) At least 50% of the crude cell due the Rumanian Government as regulation from all concessions, (3) right of preference for the exploration and exploitation of other free lands not already agreed upon under (1).

available for development by the joint company, Romans-Americana and other established oil companies have been approached with a proposal to allow participation by the joint company in the exploration and development of lamis already in the possession of these companies. In commenting on this and other developments respecting the joint oil company, the Managing Director of Romans-Americana stated (Despatch no. 358, June 12, 1945,

Bycharest):

Bucharest): "... we fear that by the introduction of the Rumanian State into the oil business as a future competitor, a series of privileges will be ereated for this new State oil company, and at the same time a number of mensures will handicap the actual operations of the existing oil companies."

a convention signed in Bucharest August 14, to have as its main activities: (1) the financing of commercial operations between the USER and Rumania, and (2) financing of operations undertaken by the joint enterprises contemplated in the agreement for economic collaboration. Supervision of these rather far-reaching powers is to be entrusted to a general manager appointed by the Seviet Group who will exercise the executive functions. The same provision is included in the other joint enterprises, thus giving the Soviet general manager extensive power in making operational decisions.

It seems probable, according to a letter from the Minister of Pinance to Premier Groza (Despatch 471, August 23, 1945, Bucharest), that the inclusion of the Rumanian Bank of Credit as part of the Rumanian contribution to the joint bank will tie the activity of the most important Rumanian business bank to the activity of the two foreign (Soviet) banks participating both in the joint-UNER-Rungarian bank and the Bank of Credit.

transport company by the Transportation Section of the British Military Mission to Rumania (31 August, 1945, emalosure to Despatch no. 515, September 20, Bucharesti, indicates that while the agreement of July 19 concerning the formation of a Soviet-Rumanian navigation essuany did not in itself constitute a monopoly of river and maritime shipping, it offered the possibility of greating such a monopoly. In practice, however, the joint company has failed to secure the comparation of Rumanian elements, has failed to take over its

leased

-15-

lessed port and shipperd properties, and shows signs of an "early death."

Constantion Conserving Agreements for Concell

The agreements for economic collaboration do not emplicitly accord the USER an exclusive position in the economic life of the countries concerned. While little is known as yet of the specific form which the contemplated joint enterprises will take, the indications are that they will be set up in such a way as to accord the USER a very strong and in some cases, monepolistic position in the commany of those countries. The lengthy duration of the agreements, moreover, will give the USER ample opportunity to implement them to the best possible advantage.

In spite of the probable exclusive character of the joint enterprises provided for by these agreements, it is not possible at present to take a strong legalistic position that they are in fact exclusive. Any such claim could probably be countered effectively by the USSR.

Both the original agreements for economic collaboration and the specific conventions founding the joint enterprises are documents approved by a government dominated by a communist minority in Russmin and not yet finally approved in any case by the Russmin Government. Their fulfillment is a question of Seviet pressure clashing with strong private expectation in the countries concerned.

will be rather effective in resisting full memopolization. This should be borne in mind in any evaluation of the possible future extent of Soviet domination.

OPENNIL toms REWrights Lgraft



ANNEX I

FEET 320

THE TRADE AGREEMENTS

Agreements with the USSR

- December 31, 1945 for listed items, in force an additional six months in 1946 for merchandise according to type and amount to be agreed upon. Text secured from confidential source, forwarded April 20, 1945 to Maj.-Gen. John A. Crane by Lt. Weyne S. Vasinich. Agreement not made available to public, limited number of government officials acquainted with it.
- 2. Rumania. signed May 8, 1945, Moscow, duration one year. Text secured from confidential sources, translation forwarded in Despatch no. 25731, September 28, London; Despatch no. 316, May 18, Bucharest. Agreement not made available to public, but commented on in general terms in Rumanian papers. Value approximately \$12,000,000.
- Bengary signed August 27, 1945, Moscow, expires
 December 31, 1946. Text secured from confidential
 source, translation forwarded in Despatch no. 270,
 September 8, 1945, Budapest. Agreement not made
 evailable to public. Value approximately \$30,000,000.
- 4. Poland signed July 7, 1945, Moscow, expires December 31, 1945. No text. Agreement summarised in announcement by Pravda July 9 and in FCC record of Warsaw broadcast September 21. Value approximately \$60,000,000.

Special coal agreement signed August 17, 1945, covering coal shipments from Poland to USSR for duration of period of occupation of Germany.

5. Caechoslovakia - proposed agreement (apparently signed but not ratified), to expire December 31, 1945. Text secured from confidential source. Secret agreement, information concerning it not made available to public. Value approximately \$20,000,000.

6. Finland

- 6. Finland signed August 11, 1945 (replacing May & agreement), Moscow, to expire June 30, 1946. No text. Agreement summarised in Finnish radio and press announcements. Value approximately \$8,000,000.
- 7. Throslavia signed April 13, 1945, Moscow, to expire December, 1945. No text.

Other Agreements

- 1. Bunsary and Carnathian-Ukraine signed July 25, 1945, Ungvar, expired September 30, 1945. Text of F. 0. memo summerising agreement sent in despatch no. 218, August 22. Budapest.
- 2. Hungary and Exechoslovekia signed August 16, 1945, Prague, expires December 31, 1945. Text of agreement sent zin despatch no. 229, August 27, 1945, Budapest. Reported in press.
- 3. Bungary and Rumania signed July 20, 1945, Bucharest, expires December 11, 1945. Text of agreement sent in despatch no. 472, August 23, 1945, Bucharest.
- 4. Hungary and Austria signed September 3, 1945, Budapest. No text. General press reports.
- 5. Honeary and Poland signed , expires December 31, 1945. No text. Reference in telegrams no. 398, October 12, 1945, Warsaw. List of commodities in despatch 94, November 8, 1945, Warsaw, Poland.
- 6. Poland and Rumania signed , expires July 1, 1946. No text. Summary from press reports. List of commodities in despatch 94, November 8, 1945, warsaw. Poland.
- 7. Poland and Sweden signed August 21, 1945, Warsaw, expires December 1, 1946. No text. Summary from press reports, Swedish government officials. Supplementary to agreement signed July 9, 1945. (Telegram 2827, August 21, Stockholm). List of commodities in despetch 94. November 8, 1945, Warsaw, Polend.

8. Poland

- Poland and Denmark signed August 29, 1945, Warsaw, expires April 30, 1946. No text. Summary from Danish Government source in Telegram no. 386, September 1, Copenhagen. List of commodities in despatch 94, November 8, 1945, Warsaw, Poland.
- 9. Poland and Morway signed August 29, 1945, No text. List of commodities in despatch 94, November 8, 1945, Warsaw, Poland.
- 10. Rumenia and Bulgaria signed October 13, Sofia, effective until April 12, 1946. No text. Confidential source, summarised in telegram 608, October 17, Sofia. Value 200,000,000 Swiss francs. Replaces an earlier agreement of January 9, 1945.
- 11. Rumania and Czachoslovakia signed November 9, Buch-
- 12. Sweden and Czenhoslovakia signed pires December 31, 1945. No text. Summery from August 12 Swedish broadcast.
- 13. Czechoslovakia and Yngoslavia to be signed in near future in Belgrade. Czechoslovakia to deliver mining equipment, machinery, relief supplies in return for timber, leather, dried fruits, wine and conserves. Six months duration.
- Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria signed October 20.
 Czechoslovakia to deliver rails, railway, streetcar
 material, sheet metal, ball bearings, insecticides,
 medicaments, dental and surgical equipment, artificial
 textile fibers and sugar in return for tobacco, wine,
 fruits, vegetables, ores. (Telegram no. 542, November
 7, Praha).

Trade of Eastern European Countries In 1934, 1937 and 1938 (in thousands of gold dollars) 1/

(Figures based on <u>Foreign Commerce Yearbook</u>
1939 and 1935; and Foreign Trade of the United States 1938.)

HUNGARY

Immorts

	Amount 193	4	Amount	937	Amount 19	38
From U. S. B/ From W. Europe & Mediterranean 2	60,300 3,400 14,500	100.0 5.7 24.1	84,100 3,700 14,900	100.0 4.5 18.1	72,600 3,700 14,000	100.0 5.1 19.2
From Germany From U.S.S.R. b	10.900	18.2	21,700 35	26.3 - 3 /	21,500	29.4 - 3/
of total U.	S. exports	(0.3) rts (-)		(0.2) (-) <u>3</u> /		(0.2) (-) <u>3</u> /

Expetts

	1934		1	237	1938		
			AMOUNT	<u></u>	Amount		
TOTAL TO U. S. a/ TO W. Rurope &	70,900 700 18,400	100.0 1.0 26.0	102,200 2,900 29,200	100.0 2.9 28.2	90,600 2,200 19,400	100.0 2.4 21.4	
Mediterranean To Germany To U.S.S.R. b/	15,600 404	2211 0.6	24,600 240	24.0 0.2	24,900	2777	
of total to so	.S. import	s (0.1) (0.3)		(0.2)		(0.2)	

Amounts are expressed in terms of the former gold dollar for purposes of comparison. The conversion to gold dollars has been made in round mumbers, but gives the relative magnitude of the sums involved. However, because of the different sources of the basic statistics, both amounts and percentages should be regarded as approximations.

^{2/} Includes France, Switzerland, Spain, Portugal, Low Countries, Scandinavian Countries, Italy, Greece, Turkey, Syria, Palestine, Egypt and Cyprus.

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP75-00662R000100050045-0

RUMANIA

(in thousands of gold dollars)

Imports

		1934		1	937	19	238
TOTAL		78,183	100.0	87,400	100.0	Amount 81,200	100.0
From From	U.S. W. Europe &	3,247 28,428	4.2 36.4	3,400 22,800	3.9 26.3	3,900 25,100	4.8 30.9
Ho 4.	Germany 1/ U.S.B.R. B/		15.5	25,200 166	28.9 0.2	29,900 56	36.8 0.1
N X	of total U. of total U.S	S. exports	(0.3)		(0,2) (0.1)		(0.2) (-) <u>2</u> /

Experts

	1934			937		938
TOTAL	81,270	100.0	Amount 136,000	100.0	93,200	100.0
To U.S. a/ To W. Europe &	6,166 12,697	0.1 45.6	2,300 54,100	1.7 39.7	1,200	1.3 37.5
Mediterranean To Germany 1/ To U.S.S.R D/	13,472	16.6	26,100 225	18.8	24,700 51	26.5 0.1
% of total U.S	. imports	(-) 2/		(-) 2/ (0.1)		(-) 2/ 2/

Includes Austria in 1938.
Less than one tenth of one per cent.

POLAND
(in thousands of gold dollars)

Imports

	1984		19	5 77	1938	
Total Prom U.S. a/ Prom W Europe &	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	89,621	100.0	140,200	100.0	144,800	100.0
	13,586	15.2	16,700	11.9	17,650	12.2
Mediterranean Prom Germany 1/ Prom USSE b/	24,936	27.8	36,700	26.2	36,000	24.8
	18,170	13.6	20,400	14.5	33,400	25.0
	1,990	2,2	1,624	1.2	1,010	0.8
Percent of to	tal U.S. o	exports (1.R. exports		(0.9) (0.8)		(1.0) (0.7)

Experts

T		1934		1987		1938	
	Amount	Percer	<u>nt</u>	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
To U.S. a	109,435 2,565	100.0 2.3		133,600	100.0 8.6	132,000	100.0
To W. European A Mediterranea To Germany 1 To USSR b	n 35,239 18,151 2,859	32.2 16.5 2,6		44,700 19,360 494	33.4 14.8 9.4	44,650 31,750 157	33.7 24.7 0.1
Percent of to	tal U.S. tal USSR	imports imports	(0.3) (2.4)		(0.6) (0.3)		(0.6) (0.1)

^{1/} Includes Austria in 1938

NULGARIA

(in thousands of dollars)

-			- 6	١.	*
1.0	24 10	10	7	-	в
			-		æ

	1934		1937		1938	
TOTAL	16,200	100.0	Anount 37,800	100.0	36,200	100.0
From U.S. From W. Europe &	386 5,425	2.3	760 7,050	2.0 18.6	985 6,500	2.7 18.1
From U.S.S.R.	6,825	40.4	20,450 10	54.0 -2/	18,800	52.0 -2/
% of total U.S.	exports S.R. exports	(-) <u>2</u> (-) <u>2</u>	/,	(-)	Y	(0.1) (-)2/

Exports

1914 1914		1934		1937		1938	
	18,200	100.0	Amount 38,100	100.0	Amount 40,900	100,0	
Ze V.S. Ze W. Europe	124	0.6 36.2	1,460	3.8 20.0	1,395 7,700	3.4 18.8	
Mediterrane To Germany 1 To U.S.S.R.	8,240	42.7	16,400	43.0	24,100	59.0	
% of tota	1 U.S. impo	rts		(0.8)	•	(0.1)	

Includes Austria in 1938. Less than one tenth of one percent.

YUUOSLAVIA

Imports

	Amount 193	4	Amount	1937	Amount 15	138
TOTAL From U.S. a/ Prom W. Europe &	48,597 3,123 14,172	100.0 6.4 29.0	70,100 4,260 12,040	100.0 6.0 16.5	4,090	100.0 6.0 18.8
From U.S.S.R. b/	6,761	13.9	23,475	32.4	22,100	32.5
a/ % of total U.	S. exports	(0,2)		(0.2)		(0.2)
	193			1937	The state of the s	138
TOTAL To U. S. a/ To. W. Europe &	\$2,744 2,133 18,938	100.0 4.0 35.8	85,400 3,965 29,750	100.0 4.6 34.8	Amount 68,900 3,495 15,850	100.0 5.1 23.0
Mediterranean To Germany To U.S.S.R. b/	8,130	15.4	18,540	21.7	24,750	35.9
a/ % of total U.	S. imports	(0.1)		(0.2)		(0.3)

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Imports

	197	1934		1937		1938_	
	America	1	Amount	1	Amount	2	
TOTAL From U. S. a/ From W. Europe &	161,009 8,988 39,315	100.0 5.6 24.4	226,500 23,050 60,200	100.0 10.2 26.5	172,100 17,500 45,200	100.0 10.2 26.2	
Hediterranean From Germany From U.S.S.R. b/	31,233	19.4 1.5	35,050 2,650	15.5 1.2	14,540 2,085	14.2	
of total U.	6. exports 8.8.R. expo	(0.7) rts (1.1)	<u> </u>	(1.2) (1.3)		(1.3) (1.3)	

Experts.

•	1024		1937		1938	
	Asserts	1	Amount	<u> </u>	Amount	2
TOTAL To W. S. 2/ To W. Europe &	184,100 12,498 42,849	100.0 6.8 23.3	246,600 22,950 60,500	100.0 9.3 24.5	210,000 13,580 53,200	100.0 6.5 25.4
Mediterranean To Germany To U.S.S.R. b	39,295 808	21.3 0.4	33,850 1,940	13.7 0.8	27,400 4,870	13.0 2.3
% of total U.	S. imports S.S.R. imports	(1.2) (0.6)		(1.3) (1.2)		(1.2) (3.1)